United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,791	02/18/2004	Takaaki Endo	00862.023466	9849
5514 FITZPATRICE	7590 07/05/2007 C CELLA HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/779,791	ENDO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622			
Doriod 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence address			
	or Reply	VIC CET TO EVDIDE AM	ONTHES OF THIRTY (20) DAVE			
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	A <i>pril 2007</i> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3/2,4/2,5/2,6/2,8,10,12,14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
-	Claim(s) <u>1,3/1,4/1,5/1,6/1,7,9,11,13</u> is/are reje	ected.				
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 03/09/2004,07/19/2004.		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/779,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

2. Claim(s) 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 9 defines a computer program for making a computer function embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed recording medium having a

Application/Control Number: 10/779,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply

contemplated and memorized by a person.

Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's election with traverse of Specie 3 of figure 14 associated with Claims 1, 3/1,

4/1, 5/1, 6/1, 7, 9, 11 and 13 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject

matter of different groups is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of

any one group would encompass a search for the subject matter of the other group. This is not

found persuasive because the non-elected specie contains features, which would not be included

in a class/subclass search or text search for the elected group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 3/1,4/1, 5/1,6/1, 7, 9, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Leberl et al. (US Patent # 6,122,078).

[Claim 1]

Leberl teaches an image processing method comprising:

Art Unit: 2622

setting a common coordinate system, which can be transformed from individual coordinate systems of a plurality of image sensing devices (e.g. X,Y,Z as shown in equations 2 and 3, col. 17 line 20 - col. 18 line 36, col. 22 line 48-col. 23 line 3, figure 16, also see col. 15 line 57-col. 16 line 7, figures 1-3);

estimating postures of at least one of the plurality of image sensing devices (e.g. parameter estimation circuit 343 as shown in figure 3, col. 17 lines 20-37);

calculating an estimated posture of the common coordinate system using at least one of the estimated posture of the plurality of image sensing devices (See equations 2 and 3, col. 17 line 20 - col. 18 line 36);

calculating a correction transform for reducing a shakiness of the common coordinate system using the estimated posture of the common coordinate system (col. 23 line 28-col. 24 line 26);

calculating a correction transform for reducing a shakiness of each of the plurality of image sensing devices using the correction transform (col. 26 line 66-col. 27 line 8, col. 20 line 12-col. 22 line 47, figures 16 and 17);

applying the corresponding correction transform to a sensed image which is sensed by each of the plurality of image sensing devices (col. 25 line 31- col. 26 line 6); and

composing a panoramic image by joining a plurality of transformed sensed images (col. 23 lines 28-42).

[Claims 3/1, 4/1 and 6/1]

Leberl et al. teaches wherein the correction transform for reducing a shakiness of each of the common coordinate system or the plurality of image sensing devices is a transform for correcting

Art Unit: 2622

yaw, roll, pitch angles and position (col. 23 line 43-col. 24 line 2, roll, tip, yaw and {alpha, phi, omega} represent position).

[Claim 5/1]

Leberl teaches wherein positions of the plurality of image sensing devices and common coordinate systems are also estimated upon estimating the postures (e.g. parameter estimation circuit 343 as shown in figure 3, col. 17 lines 20-37, See equations 2 and 3, col. 17 line 20 - col. 18 line 36).

[Claims 7, 9 and 11]

These are apparatus and computer storage claims corresponding to method claim 1. Therefore they have been analyzed and rejected based upon claim 1.

[Claim 13]

Leberl teaches an imaging apparatus comprising a plurality of image sensing devices (See figures 2 and 3, CCD arrays 211 and 215); a processor (figure 3, DSP 327 and 337) for composing a stabilized panoramic image; and a display device for displaying the panoramic image (col. 15 lines 57-col. 16 line 7, figures 1-3), wherein said processor (327 and 337) composes the panoramic image by performing the steps of:

setting a common coordinate system, which can be transformed from individual coordinate systems of a plurality of image sensing devices (e.g. X,Y,Z as shown in equations 2 and 3, col. 17 line 20 - col. 18 line 36, col. 22 line 48-col. 23 line 3, figure 16, also see col. 15 line 57-col. 16 line 7, figures 1-3);

estimating postures of at least one of the plurality of image sensing devices (e.g. parameter estimation circuit 343 as shown in figure 3, col. 17 lines 20-37);

Application/Control Number: 10/779,791

Art Unit: 2622

calculating an estimated posture of the common coordinate system using at least one of the estimated posture of the plurality of image sensing devices (See equations 2 and 3, col. 17 line 20 - col. 18 line 36);

Page 6

calculating a correction transform for reducing a shakiness of the common coordinate system using the estimated posture of the common coordinate system (col. 23 line 28-col. 24 line 26);

calculating a correction transform for reducing a shakiness of each of the plurality of image sensing devices using the correction transform (col. 26 line 66-col. 27 line 8, col. 20 line 12-col. 22 line 47, figures 16 and 17);

applying the corresponding correction transform to a sensed image which is sensed by each of the plurality of image sensing devices (col. 25 line 31- col. 26 line 6); and

composing a panoramic image by joining a plurality of transformed sensed images (col. 23 lines 28-42).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571)-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,791 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA June 24, 2007

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600